



Diploma Project

Telecommunication equipment management using web services

HTML Service Version V 1.0 (HTML)

Professeurs:

Philippe Joye
François Buntschu

Mandatory:

Daniel Gachet

Expert:

Nicolas Mayencourt

Students:

Thierry Kiki
David Schneider



Table of Contents

1	Definitions.....	3
2	Initiation.....	3
2.1	Project Objective.....	3
2.2	Background.....	3
2.3	Scope.....	4
2.3.1	Business functions	4
2.3.2	Project interfaces.....	4
2.3.3	Required analysis.....	4
2.4	Project constraints.....	5
2.4.1	Project dates.....	5
2.4.2	Interproject dependencies.....	5
3	Analysis.....	5
3.1	Embedded System limits.....	5
3.1.1	Memory limitation.....	5
3.1.2	Performance limitation.....	5
3.2	Communication with the MileGate.....	6
3.2.1	Describe the Client-Server system used to communication with the MileGate.....	6
3.2.2	Describe the format of the requests and responses.....	6
3.3	Object Model and the actual management system (MCST).....	7
3.3.1	Analyse the structure of the Object Model.....	7
3.3.2	Analyse the functional design.....	8
3.3.3	Analyse the dynamic adaptation mechanism	11
3.4	Other constraints for MileGate.....	11
4	Feasibility studies.....	12
4.1	Identify problems for implementation.....	12
4.2	Description of a possible implementation.....	13
5	Recommendation for Implementation.....	14
5.1	Use Case diagram.....	14
5.2	Sequence Diagram.....	15
5.3	Initiation of HTML Service.....	15
5.4	GUI Prototype.....	16
5.5	Generation of HTML files.....	17
5.6	Reaction on modification.....	18
5.7	Reaction on new Hardware.....	18
5.8	Problems.....	19
6	Conclusion.....	19
7	Annexes.....	20
7.1	Revision history.....	20
7.2	References.....	20
7.3	Structure of the MileGate 2500 Management Functions.....	21



1 Definitions

MO:	Managed Object
MOM:	Managed Object Model
moType:	Managed Object Type
MF:	Management Function
ADF:	AccesPoint (MO) – definition file
MCST:	MileGate Configuration Software Tool
KOAP:	KEYMILE Object Access Protocol
SOAP:	Simple Object Access Protocol (W3C recommendation)
WebServices:	W3C recommendation
GUI:	Graphical User Interface

2 Initiation

2.1 Project Objective

Find a good way to generate on the fly HTML pages within the MileGate which is providing a web browser access.

2.2 Background

It would be interesting to offer a possibility to display and modify the configuration of the MileGate network device for humans. The most simple and standardized way is to provide the access via a web browser as a lot of other network devices as routers, modems, acces points or switches do.

Our only interface to access the data or configuration parameters is the MileGate Object Model with its proprietary communication protocol.




Illustration 1: System structure



For further treatment of the data for the presentation layer, we need to know the overall structure of the configuration (possible parameters) which needs to be parsed from an XML Schema, the ADF (proprietary AccessPoint Definition File) or in the future from the description of our Web Service (WSDL File).

2.3 Scope

2.3.1 Business functions

The aim is to analyze the feasibility of a service on the MileGate which creates HTML pages on the fly (run-time). Changing the configuration should be possible and the concerned pages are afterwards adjusted automatically.

It is not possible and not wished to generate HTML files on the request of the user. The response time with very big files could not be guaranteed and we need to be independent of the CPU charge of the system.

The service must be adaptable with a modular structure. Also the presentation layer and the logic must be separated strictly.

2.3.2 Project interfaces

The service will run on the MileGate core card (imitations will be discussed later) and is accessing the management interface. Information about the complete system are published in the document "User Guide – MileGate & MCST".

For the implementation in C++, the document "C++ Programming Style Guidelines, Common Part" and "C++ Programming Practice Guidelines, Common Part" need to be respected.

2.3.3 Required analysis

Embedded System limits

- use of memory
- performance of system

Actual management system

- functions
- operational implementation

Constraints for MileGate

Identification of problems for implementation



2.4 Project constraints

2.4.1 Project dates

This task of the project is initially limited at 5 workdays. It is possible to resume some parts at an advanced project state.

2.4.2 Interproject dependencies

The task does not depend on further work of our project but we can eventually identify common problems. The survey of the actual system and interface will help to understand the functioning and simplify future workings.

3 Analysis

3.1 Embedded System limits

3.1.1 Memory limitation

The memory of the MileGate is limited and has to be used with fully aware. The program itself need to be adapted to the MileGate coding rules.

If its necessary to add images or other graphical elements, they could be loaded over the Internet. HTML is pure text and does not use lot of memory.

Core Card: 128MB / 256MB of RAM (no hard disk drive)
128MB Flash Memory

3.1.2 Performance limitation

The actual management system (MCST) generates a lot of request towards the management interface. Amelioration is possible but not vital.

Performance limitations rather have to be considered at the implementation of the HTML Service due to the generation of the HTML files and its storage uses much more system resources.

CPU: PowerPC 603E (~400MHz)



3.2 Communication with the MileGate

3.2.1 Describe the Client-Server system used to communication with the MileGate

The communication with the management interface uses a proprietary XML protocol named KOAP which is transported over a proprietary message transport protocol (replaced in future by SOAP sent with HTTP/HTTPS)

It is a matter of a simple request-response system. The client is allowed to send request and the server (MileGate management interface) returns a response with the an indication whether the request was successful or had an error.

The KOAP protocol additionally offers the possibilities to send attachments.

All the services handling the configuration must access this management interface.

3.2.2 Describe the format of the requests and responses

The following paragraph shows how the transmitted message should look like.

The actual management interface accepts request which looks as followed:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<request version="1" seq="1" destAddr="/unit-1/port-1">
  <mdomain id="main">
    <operation seq="1" name="setLabel">
      <Label>
        <user>User1</user>
        <service>Service1</service>
        <description>Description1</description>
      </Label>
    </operation>
  </mdomain>
</request>
```

Illustration 2: KOAP request

The request addresses the Management Object Type (MO Type) `"/unit-1/port-1"` and the Management Function (MF) `"main"`. The called function is named `setLabel` and requires the shown XML formatting.



For the response we observe the response on the function [getLabel](#) because the function used just before won't deliver any content. The response looks as followed:

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<response version="1" seq="1" destAddr="/unit-1/port-1">
  <mdomain id="main">
    <operation seq="1" name="getLabel">
      <execution status="success"/>
      <Label>
        <user>User1</user>
        <service>Service1</service>
        <description>Description1</description>
      </Label>
    </operation>
  </mdomain>
</request>

```

Illustration 3: KOAP response

The requests has the same parameters as the request. Additionally the tag `<execution>` with the parameter `status="success"` has been added into the tag `<operation>`. An unsuccessful response would contain the execution parameter `status="proc_error"`.

Within the `<operation>` tag, the values just send before in the `setLabel` function were returned.

3.3 Object Model and the actual management system (MCST)

3.3.1 Analyse the structure of the Object Model

An introduction to the MileGate Object Model MOM can be found in the document "Introduction to the MileGate XML Management Interface" under "Minimal introduction to the MOM".

The tree is built by Managed Objects (MO) in a hierarchical model.




Illustration 4: MileGate Object Model structure



Each MO has its proper set of Management Functions (MF).

MF of root node: Main, Configuration, Fault Management, Status

MF of unit node: Main, Configuration, Fault Management, Status

MF of type port: Main, Configuration, Fault Management, Performance Management, Status

The complete structure of the **MileGate 2500** MF's is represented as annexe.

3.3.2 Analyse the functional design

The first operation the MCST needs to know what type of equipment we are about to connect. Therefor a **Discover** message has to be sent to the root node's main management function.

```
<info>
  <moType>ne.milegate.2500</moType>
  <adfReference>keyne_r2e05pr_ws</adfReference>
  <addressFragment>ne</addressFragment>
  <moName>MileGate 2500</moName>
  <assignedMoName/>
  <state>ok</state>
  <adminState>na</adminState>
  <label>
    <user/>
    <service/>
    <description/>
  </label>
  <uuid>
    <id/>
  </uuid>
  <maxApAlarmSeverity>minor</maxApAlarmSeverity>
  <maxPropagatedAlarmSeverity>warning</maxPropagatedAlarmSeverity>
  <lastConfigChangedSeqNr>0</lastConfigChangedSeqNr>
  <lastSavedSeqNr>0</lastSavedSeqNr>
  <configChangedByLoad>false</configChangedByLoad>
  <hasChildren>true</hasChildren>
  <koapVersion>1</koapVersion>
  <eqpStatus>unprotected</eqpStatus>
</info>
.
<ChildList>
.
.
```




```
<info>
  <moType>unit.holder.extended</moType>
  <adfReference>keyne_r2e05pr_ws</adfReference>
  <addressFragment>/unit-11</addressFragment>
  <moName>COGE1 R1D</moName>
  <assignedMoName>keyne_r2e05pr_ws</assignedMoName>
  <state>plugged</state>
  <adminState>down</adminState>
  <label>
    <user/>
    <service/>
    <description/>
  </label>
  <uuid>
    <id/>
  </uuid>
  <maxApAlarmSeverity>warning</maxApAlarmSeverity>
  <maxPropagatedAlarmSeverity>cleared</maxPropagatedAlarmSeverity>
  <lastConfigChangedSeqNr>0</lastConfigChangedSeqNr>
  <lastSavedSeqNr>0</lastSavedSeqNr>
  <configChangedByLoad>true</configChangedByLoad>
  <hasChildren>true</hasChildren>
  <koapVersion>2</koapVersion>
  <eqpStatus>unprotected</eqpStatus>
</info>
```

Illustration 5: Response of Discover

Additionally to the information about the equipment, the discover request provides a list of its children.

The complete structure of the Managed Object Type (MOType) **ne.milegate.2500** can be looked up in the AccessPoint Description File (ADF).

The GUI of the actual configuration tool MCST is generated automatically by parsing this ADF file.

```
<mf name="main">
  <group name="general" cli="General" gui="General">
    <property name="Label" cli="Labels" gui="Labels">
      <struct name="Label" cli="Labels" gui="Labels">
        <value name="user" type="string" range="63" gui="Label 1"/>
        <value name="service" type="string" range="63" gui="Label 2"/>
        <value name="description" type="string" range="127"
          gui="Description"/>
      </struct>
    </property>
  </group>
</mf>
```



```
</struct>
</property>
<property name="AlarmSeverity" gui="Alarm Status">
  <struct name="AlarmSeverity" gui="Alarm Status">
    <enum name="maxAlarmSeverity" gui="Highest Alarm Severity">
      <symbol name="cleared" gui="Cleared" />
      <symbol name="indeterminate" gui="Indeterminate" />
      <symbol name="warning" gui="Warning" />
      <symbol name="minor" gui="Minor" />
      <symbol name="major" gui="Major" />
      <symbol name="critical" gui="Critical" />
    </enum>
    <enum name="maxPropagatedAlarmSeverity" gui="Highest
Propagated Alarm Severity">
      <symbol name="cleared" gui="Cleared" helpText="" />
      <symbol name="indeterminate" gui="Indeterminate" />
      <symbol name="warning" gui="Warning" />
      <symbol name="minor" gui="Minor" />
      <symbol name="major" gui="Major" />
      <symbol name="critical" gui="Critical" />
    </enum>
  </struct>
</property>
</group>
```

Illustration 6: ADF structure

The screenshot shows a window titled "AP: /, Main" with three tabs: "General", "Inventory", and "Logbooks". The "General" tab is active. It contains two sections: "Labels" and "Alarm Status".

Labels section:

- Label 1:
- Label 2:
- Description:

Alarm Status section:

- Highest Alarm Severity:
- Highest Propagated Alarm Severity:

At the bottom, there are four buttons: "OK", "Apply", "Refresh", and "Cancel".

Illustration 7: MCST window



The structure is complete now, but the values are still missing. To get the values we need to send the proprietary KOAP message we mentioned before to the desired node.

To make the link between the ADF file, the KOAP message and the automatical generated GUI we represent once again the response for the getLabel function. The request was directed to the Management Function (MF) **main** with the property **Label** and the action **GET**.

```
<Label>
  <user>x</user>           // ADF: <value name="user" gui="Label 1"/>
  <service>y</service>     // ADF: <value name="service" gui="Label 2"/>
  <description>z</description> // ADF: <value name="description" gui="Label 3"/>
</Label>
```

Illustration 8: MileGate Object Model structure

3.3.3 Analyse the dynamic adaptation mechanism

The MCST loads the complete tree of Managed Objects at the opening of the Application or if the user clicks on the refresh button.

If the user presses the refresh button, the entire management function MF (for example: main or configuration) will be regenerated with all its KOAP requests. This technique has the disadvantage that if we change just the timezone (MF: configuration), 41 KOAP requests need to be generated, sent and answered.

Those requests are generated very fast and it does not use much CPU usage to response them. But if we need to generate 15 new HTML pages (assumption that the structure of the GUI won't be changed) for each changing in this configuration management function, we waste lot of resources.

At the implementation of the HTML Service we have to consider that we just generate the HTML pages where parameters have changed.

3.4 Other constraints for MileGate

??



4 Feasibility studies

4.1 Identify problems for implementation

Problem	Description	Mitigation
Parsing HTML	It is difficult to extract information from HTML pages as it doesn't have a well defined structure.	The parsing of XML is much easier in C++. It could be a good solution to use XHTML instead of HTML.
Memory limitation for complete database. We can either create a DB for the service or always request the used parameters. I	<p>DB:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + must get just modified parameter for regeneration - memory <p>Direct output:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + simpler to implementation - content of entire page must be requested on each modification 	<p>Creation of a DB probably won't be necessary for this implementation. We create additional problems caused by the redundancy of the data. Likewise is the implemented SAX parser on MileGate not optimal for the creation of a DB.</p> <p>In my opinion it's better to keep the number of request as small as possible (not like in MCST).</p>
Menu structure	The menu is complex but needs to be well arranged at the same time.	A good technique to use would be a solution based a tree menu (example JavaScript) for the navigation within the nodes and kind of pop-up menu for the management functions.
Refresh of navigation menu on insertion of new unit	The menu must be updated (rewrite HTML page) if a new unit is inserted. On the browser it can be reloaded automatically with a refresh timing.	<p>We need to detect the low-level interrupt!</p> <p>To find the accurate method the survey of the MCST will be helpful.</p>
File transfer on HTML	The actual system initiates file transfer with a tag and adds the file just behind. This is possible due to the protocol is no standardized.	Here we have to study how to use HTTP/Put in C++
Acknowledge on modification	If the user modifies a parameter, he needs to be sure that the operation was successful.	We can not send messages to the user with HTML. The only possibility is to print error messages on the HTML page which will be visible on



		the next reload.
Config of multiple Managed Objects (MO's)	The MCST GUI offers the possibility of configuring multiple MO's with one action.	This is difficult to implement in HTML, the task needs a further studies.
Connection Manager	The MCST GUI offers a connection manager which is user dependent.	The connection parameters of the users can not be managed trough the server. It is possible to use cookies to save connection parameters on the users web browser.
Customizing the GUI / Custom toolbar	A helpful add-on of the MCST is the customizable interface.	It will be very challenging to implement a customizable HTML page. The feasibility and its advantages should be studied in a further task. A custom toolbar is rather conceivable. It must also be saved on the client machine with a technologie such as cookies.
Printing option / Table CSV export	The MCST GUI offers a printing option and table export possibilities for spreadsheet programs.	Printing in HTML is obtainable with a well formatted page or a additional stylesheet. The export possibility is more difficult and probably not supported in HTML. The CSV files may need to be generated within our HTML Service instead.

4.2 Description of a possible implementation

We want a product which is as modular and adaptable as possible. To achieve this we certainly need a strict separation between logic and presentation.

logic:

- contains parsing of structure and management of data (get/set via MOM interface)
- parsing of the object model
- interface between HTML and KOAP
- detection of changes
- new card: → parse
- modified config: → what to add/modify



presentation:

- contains presentation of data and generation/modification of navigation
- the data need to be represented in function of its usability/type
- the navigation need to be generated automatically
- Plug/unplug must modify the navigation according to the logic

5 Recommendation for Implementation

This topic contains our recommendation for the implementation of the HTML Service and an example user interface.

5.1 Use Case diagram

The following diagram is a first approach to describe a possible functionality of the system.




Illustration 9: Use Case diagram



5.2 Sequence Diagram

With the sequence diagram we like to show the sequential interactions and exchange of messages.





Illustration 10: Sequence Diagram

5.3 Initiation of HTML Service

At the initiation of the HTML service, the entire structure has to be generated. The result of this will be accessible by the client after the step 7 of the Sequence Diagram. The connection itself does not evoke the initiation of the service, all the HTML files need to be already existing at this point of time.

The following points are executed only once at the initiation of the HTML service on the MileGate and describe the communication of the Service with the management interface of the MileGate.



It has to be said that the parsing of objects has to be recursive which is not represented in this flowchart.

Furthermore it is more likely that the navigation menu is completely generated in advanced out of the first discover message which was described in the chapter "Analyse the functional design" and contains a list of used units and ports.

5.4 GUI Prototype

The menu is the most important part of the website because it defines the way we can navigate trough the sites and with this the ease of use. Basically we have the root node with its units and ports. Further a technique need to be evaluated to add maximal five additional menus to access the further navigation structure (Main, Configuration Management, Fault Management, Performance Management and Status) of each node. Possibilities are a second navigation frame or a pop-up accessible by the right mouse button.

The following illustration provides a GUI prototype with a second navigation frame and pull down menus.




Illustration 11: GUI prototype

The website needs to be built with frames. That way we can use one single menu (left) on every other page. In function of the selection on the left side, the top menu and its menu points need to change. The pages will be loaded on click into the content frame.

5.5 Generation of HTML files

The generation of the HTML files is similar to the transformation already used for the JAVA client MCST. This is just the case if we decide to keep the actual structure described in the ADF file (see “Analyse the functional design”).

ADF structure: <group><property><struct> or <group><property><table>




Illustration 12: GUI Menu

- <group> → MENU Points
- <property> → Page
- <struct>/<table> → Content Element (multiple per page)

Within the <struct>, the tags could be transformed as followed:

- <enum> : Combo Box
- <value> : Text/Form Field

The transformation of the <table> tag is more complex.

5.6 Reaction on modification

The system needs to react to modification automatically. Modifications are possible on different interfaces such as CLI, MCST, syslog, SNMP and of course the web interface for this service.

The MileGate generates notification on a change of the configuration. Those notifications need to be captured by our service and must generate the affected pages automatically. We need to be aware that also the navigation menus can change, this fact needs to be considered at the conception of the navigation structure. Those changes also complicates the automatic generation of the navigation structure.

5.7 Reaction on new Hardware

If a new unit is added or removed, the navigation menu and the appropriate pages need to be added and of course also deleted. The automatic deletion of the files could also cause some problems.

On addition of a unit a similar mechanism as the one described in the topic “Initiation of the HTML service” has to be performed starting at the added unit instead of the root node.



5.8 Problems

Additional to the identification of the problems in the point “Feasibility studies” we list here some very important points for the implementation of the service.

Error Handling:

To announce errors to the user, we can just use the output of the HTML page. It is possible to generate error pages or to add the error message at any place of the page.

Concurrent Problems:

The handling of concurrent access need to be checked to guarantee the functionality.

Refresh Problems:

Automatic refresh with a refresh delay could cause some problems. We also have to pay attention that the caching mechanism of the browser/website is configured well.

Performance Problems:

We saw in the analysis that the embedded system has some limitations such as the performance. To avoid performance problems, proper testing is necessary.

6 Conclusion

A service which generates HTML pages on the MileGate is feasible.

The aim (advantage compared to MCST) and the wanted functions of such a service need to be planed and analyzed carefully.

The diagrams and process descriptions have no deep complexity and need to be expanded. Primary aim of those presentations was to provide an overview of the conceived approach.

At my point of view, a customizable user interface or a change of the look-and-feel could bring some advantages for the use of the interface.

The required time to realize this project is very difficult to estimate at the actual state because it depends hardly on the desired functionalities.

The survey of the actual management tool (MCST) and its implementation helped a lot and completed the introduction into the very complex MileGate system. We feel certain that this analysis will facilitate future tasks and helps if such a service will be designed.



7 Annexes

7.1 Revision history

Doc ID	Revision		Short description of the modification	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved
	Version	Date				
HTML	1	09/06/09	First Version	DSCHN		

7.2 References

- User Guide – MileGate & MCST
- C++ Programming Style Guidelines, Common Part (KEYMILE Confidential)
- C++ Programming Practice Guidelines, Common Part (KEYMILE Confidential)
- Extensible HyperText Markup Language <http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml1/>



7.3 Structure of the MileGate 2500 Management Functions

The Structure can vary on different MileGate models, Units and Port types!

RootNode (MileGate 2500)

Main

General

Lables:	Text fields
AlarmStatus:	Combo boxes

Inventory

Equipment Inventory:	Text fields
----------------------	-------------

Equipment

Database Compatibility List:	Text fields
Database Compatibility Function:	Table

Logbooks:	Buttons
-----------	---------

Configuration

Date And Time

SNTP Client

Operation Mode:	Combo boxes
Primary Server:	Text field
Secondary Server:	Text field
Polling Interval:	Text field
Broadcast Delay:	Text field
Time Zone:	Combo/Checkbox

IPSec

IPSec Parameters:	Checkbox
IPSec Policy:	Table
Internet Key Exchange:	Table
Security Methods:	Table

QoS

802.1p Priority Mapping

802.1p Priority – To – Queue Mapping:	Table
---------------------------------------	-------

SNMP

General:	Button
SNMP Support:	Checkbox
SNMP Parameters:	Text fields

Syslog Destinations

Destination 1

Destination Configuration:	Text fields
Source:	Table

.
.



Destination 10:		
Destination Configuration:		Text fields
Source:		Table
Syslog Source		
Syslog Source List:		Table
Temperature Limits		
Exceed:		Text fields
Warning:		Text fields
ESO		
ESO-1 Clock Sources:		Check boxes
ESO-2:		Check boxes
PETS		
PDH Clock Sources:		Combo boxes
PETS Clock Priority:		Combo boxes
PETS Extraction:		Combo box
Modify Password:		Button
Configuration Management:		Buttons
Configuration Status:		Combo/Table
Management Interface		
Management Interface:		Text fields
Management VLAN:		Text field
Packet		
Bridge:		Combo boxes
Traceability:		Text field
PPPoA:		Text fields
Session Management		
Retry Time:		Text field
Sessionmanager Session Timeout:		Text field
Authentication Management Interface:		Check boxes
RADIUS Default Userclass:		Combo box
Radius Client		
Radius Common Parameters:		Check/ text fields
Primary Radius Server:		Check/ text fields
Alternate Radius Server:		Check/ text fields
Fault Management		
Active Failures		
Failure:		Table
Status:		Button
Alarm Status:		Table
Configuration		
Alarm Configuration:		Table
Status		
Radius Client		



Primary Radius Server Status:	Combo/ text fields
Alternate Radius Server Status:	Combo/ text fields
IPSec	
SA Status:	Table
Redundancy:	Buttons
NE Configuration Status	
Overall Configuration Status:	Check box
Detailed Configuration Status:	Combo/ Check box
Core Unit Roles:	Button/ text field
Core Unit Status:	Check boxes
Temperature:	Button
Current:	Text field
Max.:	Text field
Min.:	Text field
ESO	
ESO-1:	Combo box
ESO-2:	Combo box
PETS:	Button
PETS Clock Sources:	Check Table
PETS Status:	Combo box
Date And Time	
Time:	Button
Summary:	Combo/ text fields
SNTP Client	
Primary Server Status:	Combo box
Secondary Server Status:	Combo box
Last Response Time:	Text fields
Last Jump Time:	Text fields
Last Adjustment Time:	Text fields
Management Interface:	Button
SSH Fingerprint:	Text fields
Session Management	
Session:	Table



Unit (SUAD1)

Main

General

Lables: Text fields
 AlarmStatus: Combo boxes
 Equipment: Buttons
 Assignment Status: Combo/ text field
 Equipment Status: Combo/ text fields
 System Compatibility List: Text field
 System Compatibility Functions: Table
 Database Compatibility List: Text fields
 Database Compatibility Functions: Table

Inventory

Equipment Inventory: Text fields

Internal Logbooks:

Button

Cpload:

Buttons

File Access

Transfer:

Button

Delete:

Buttons

Software

Software On Element Manager:

Table

Software On Unit:

Table

Disk Space:

Text fields

Configuration:

Combo/ text fields

Configuration

VLAN

Priority Mapping:

Combo boxes

Filter

Security Filtering:

Combo boxes

Traceability

Logon Options

DHCP:

Combo box

Aging:

Combo/ text field

PPPoE:

Combo box

MAC

MAC Options:

Text field

Host Port

Policer Profile:

Button/ Combo box

Fault Management

Status:

Button

Alarm Status:

Table

Configuration:

Table

Commands:

Buttons



Port (ADSL on SUAD1)

Main

General

Lables: Text boxes
Alarm Status: Combo boxes

Admin And Oper Status

Administrative Status: Combo box
Operational Staus: Combo box

Configuration

Multicast: Button/ Check boxes

Maximum Number Of Multicast Streams

Group Management

Multicast Access Profile 1: Button/ Checkbox
Multicast Access Profile 2: Button/ Checkbox
Multicast Access Profile 3: Button/ Checkbox

Traceability

Agent Remote ID: Text field

Security

Maximum Number Of Addresses for TLS: Text field
Maximum Number Of MAC Addresses For Nto1: Text field

Access Control

Classification Key: Combo box

Rate Limiter

Rate Limiter

Upstream Profile: Button/Combo/Check
Downstream Profile: Button/Combo/Check

QoS

Scheduling Profile: Button/Combo

Profile

Port Profile: Button/Combo

Fault Management

Status: Button

Alarm Status: Table

Configuration

Alarm Configuration: Check Table/Button

Performance Management

Performance Monitoring: Check boxes/Buttons

UserCounter: Table

History 15min: Table

History 24h: Table

Status

General



DSL Status:	Combo box
Attainable Rate:	Text fields
Output Power:	Text fields
Band Table:	Table
Statistics	
Port Counters:	Text fields
Policing Counter:	Text fields
MAC Access Dynamic List	
Unicast List:	Table
MAC Forwarding List:	
MAC Forwarding List:	Table
QoS	
Weighted Fair Queues:	Text field
Multicast	
Stream:	Button
Active Streams:	Table/ Text field
VLAN	
Attached VLANs:	Table/ Text field
Defects	
Defects	
Central Office:	Check boxes
CPE:	Check boxes
Line Inventory	
DSL Vendor	
Central Office:	Text fields
CPE:	Text fields
Maintenance	
DSL Operation Status:	Combo box
RFI Bands	
Notch Table Downstream:	Table